

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

ROSALIE A. McALLISTER (f.k.a.
ROSALIE A. REICHL),
Plaintiff.

V.

PACIFIC MARITIME ASSOCIATION et al.,

Defendant.

Case No. C07-0700 JPD

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION

This matter comes before the court on Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration. Local Civil

17 Rule 7(h) Motions for Reconsideration states in part as follows:

(1) Standard. Motions for reconsideration are disfavored. The court will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence.

(3) Response. No response to a motion for reconsideration shall be filed unless requested by the court.

Clearly this court is not immune from reconsidering its decisions, as this motion was generated by the court's considering the defendant's motion for reconsideration of its May 1, 2008 ruling. Nor does the court rue the day it agreed to arbitrate any dispute. The court well remembers that both parties worked long and hard to reach the terms of the subject agreement, and this court would not have impeded the successful resolution of the matter by refusing the joint request that it serve as arbiter of any subsequent disagreements over the meaning of the contract.

28 | Order - 1

1 As a practical matter, this court cannot have any independent certain recollection with regard to
2 the issue(s) at hand given the passage of time since the agreement was entered into in 1997.
3 Having fully considered the matter, the court can come to no rational determination other than as
4 set forth in the Order on Defendant's Motion for Partial Reconsideration dated July 17, 2008.

5 **Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration is denied.**

6
7 Dated this 4th day of August 2008.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

/s/ J. Kelley Arnold
J. Kelley Arnold
U.S. Magistrate Judge